

Purchasing Inventory Models for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand

C. K. Sivashankari

Mathematics Department, RMK Engineering College, Chennai – 600 077, India Corresponding author: vinangi.ck@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history Received February 12, 2019 Revised July 30, 2019 Accepted August 12, 2019 Available Online August 31, 2019

Keywords Inventory Deteriorating Quadratic demand Lot size This paper deals with purchasing inventory replenishment policy for deteriorating items consider with the time-dependent quadratic demand and time-dependent backlogging. Two models were formulated and solved. First, it is for deteriorating items with quadratically time-dependent demand for deteriorating items. Second, quadratically time-dependent demand for deteriorating items and shortages. A mathematical model is developed to the fourth-order equation for each model, and the optimal production lot size, which minimizes the total cost is derived. Sensitivity analysis is carried out to demonstrate the effects of changing parameter values on the optimal solution of the system. Numerical examples are taken to illustrate the procedure of finding the optimal inventory cost, cycle time, and optimal lot size. The numerical experiment in this model was coded in Microsoft Visual Basic 6.0.

This is an open-access article under the CC-BY-SA license.



1. Introduction

The Classical inventory models assume constant demand in an endless planning horizon [1]. This assumption is valid during the maturation process of the product life cycle and the finite span. The inventory can be improved in other stages of the product life cycle. It is a positive business launch or a decline due to the introduction of new rival goods. However, demand is not always constant in reality and the market is called by time-dependent. The main issue of the current paper and inventory model is the time-dependent quadratic demand, time-proportional deterioration, and backlog. Most inventory modelers consider time-varying demand. It is known to be linear and exponential in two types of time-dependent demands. Linear time-dependent demand D = a + bt implies a steady increase or decrease in demand according as b > 0 (or) b < 0. It is unrealistic in the real market. On the other hand, an exponentially time-varying demand D = De^{at} is unrealistic because, in real market situations, demand is unlikely to vary a high rate as exponential. Therefore, the time-dependent quadratic demand of the form $D = a + bt + ct^2$, a>0, seems to be a better representation of time-varying market demand.

🕐 https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol20.No2.204-217 👾 http://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/industri 🛛 📁 ti.jurnal@umm.ac.id

Several studies have been carried out by researchers in inventory problems. Economic order quantity (EOQ) models have been studied by Harris [1] that presented the famous EOQ formulae. Dave and Patel [2] Considered an EOQ model in which the demand rate is changing linearly with time and Bose, et al. [3] proposed model two separate inflation rates. The inventory model for deteriorating the items that occur a fixed rate independent of time was developed by Skouri and Papachristos [4]. Ghosh, et al. [5] developed an inventory model for deteriorating items, a quadratic time-vary demand, and shortages in inventory. Furthermore, Ghosh and Chaudhuri [6] developed an EOQ model over a finite time-horizon for a deteriorating item with a quadratic, timedependent demand, allowing shortages in inventory and the rate of deterioration are taken to be time-proportional. It is assumed that the shortage occurs in every cycle. a deterministic inventory model when the deterioration rate is time proportional and the demand rate is a function of selling price and holding cost is time dependent was proposed by Roy [7]. Cheng and Wang [8] implemented an inventory model for deteriorating items with a trapezoidal type demand rate.

Beside, Jaggi and Verma [9] developed a two warehouses inventory model with a linear trend in demand under inflationary conditions. Mandal [10] considered the depletion not only by demand but also by deterioration. An EOQ inventory problem that over a finite time for deteriorating items with shortages, where the demand rate is of the ramp-type, was discussed by Roy and Chaudhuri [11]. Cheng, et al. [12] studied an inventory model for time-dependent deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand rate and partial backlogging. The two-warehouses inventory model with a partial backorder and Weibull distribution deteriorating was implemented by Kumar, et al. [13]. It is considered inflation and applies the discounted cash flow in problem analysis. Sarkar, et al. [14] proposed an optimal inventory replenishment policy for deteriorating items time-quadratic demand and time-dependent partial backlogging. A deterministic inventory model with time-dependent demand and time-varying holding cost was developed by Mishra, et al. [15]. Furthermore, De and Sana [16] studied an economic order quantity model for fuzzy variables with promotional effort and selling pricedependent demand. An order-level inventory system for deteriorating items with the demand rate as a ramp type function of time was implemented by Manna, et al. [17]. Islam, et al. [18] proposed a time-dependent inventory model based on a constant production rate. Kaliraman, et al. [19] proposed a two warehouses inventory model for deteriorating items with exponential demand rate and permissible delay in payment.

In this model, one warehouse is rented, and the other is owned. The rented warehouse is provided with a better facility for the stock than the owned warehouse, but it is charged more. To the best of our knowledge, there is no research that addresses this problem. The objective of this model is to find the best replenishment policies for minimizing the total appropriate inventory cost. Tripathi, et al. [20] Established an inventory model with exponential time-dependent demand and time-dependent deterioration that allowed by shortages. An inventory model for deteriorating products having demand, which is the function of the selling price, was proposed by Singh, et al. [21]. In this model, the deteriorating rate is time-varying with the quadratic function of time. They are considered a quadratic function of time. In this paper, two inventory models are developed in which (1) inventory model for deteriorating items, (2) inventory model for shortages. The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the assumptions and notations. Section 3 is problem formulation and numerical examples. Finally, the paper summarizes and concludes in section 5.



2. Methods

2.1 Assumptions

In this paper, we use assumption is used to formulate the problem such as: (1) Initially, the inventory level is zero; (2) The demand rate is a quadratic function $D = a + bt + ct^2$ where a>0, $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$, at time t and it is a continuous function of time. Here, a, b, and c are constants and "a" stands for the initial demand "b" and "c" are a positive trend in demand; (3) The holding cost, ordering cost and shortage cost remain constant over time; (4) The planning horizon is finite; (5) The lead time is zero; and (6) There is no repair or replacement of the deteriorated items.

2.2 Notations

Notations are used in this paper such as;

- *D* : Demand rate in units per unit time
- Q^* : Optimal lot size (Unit)
- θ : Rate of deteriorative
- C_d : Deterioration cost per unit (\$)
- B : Number of shortages in unit
- C_s : Shortage cost per unit (\$)
- C_0 : Ordering cost/order (\$)
- C_{h} : Holding cost per unit/time (\$)
- T : Cycle time
- SC : Setup Cost (\$)
- HC : Holding cost (\$)
- DC : Deterioration cost (\$)
- ShC : Shortage Cost (\$)
- TC : Total cost (\$)
- *a* : Initial demand
- *b,c* : Positive trend
- *I* : Inventory level

2.3 Purchasing Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand

The typical behavior of the inventory model has depicted in Fig. 1. The inventory starts with zero stock at zero time. In most of the inventory models, demand has considered a constant function. Nevertheless, in a realistic situation, demand is always not a constant function. It is varying according to time. Therefore, this model developed a deterministic deteriorating inventory model. In which the demand is a quadratic function of time, that is $a + bt + ct^2$, where $a > 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0$ at time t and "a" stands for the initial demand, and "b" is a positive trend in demand, and the deterioration rate is constant.

The following differential equation gives the instantaneous inventory level I(t).

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(t) + \theta I(t) = -(a+bt+ct^2); 0 < t < T$$

(1)



with the boundary conditions are I(0) = Q; I(T) = 0

The solution of equation (1) is shown in equation (3).

$$I(t) = \left(\frac{a+bT+cT^2}{\theta} - \frac{b+2ct}{\theta^2} + \frac{2c}{\theta^3}\right)e^{\theta(T-t)} - \frac{a+bt+ct^2}{\theta} + \frac{b+2ct}{\theta^2} - \frac{2c}{\theta^3}$$
(3)

The total cost includes the sum of the cost of installation, the cost of holding, and the cost of deterioration. Setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, and The total cost respectively are shown in equation (4), (5), (6), and (7).

$$SC = \frac{C_0}{T}$$

$$HC = \frac{C_h}{T} \left[\int_{o}^{T} I(t) dt \right]$$

$$= \frac{C_h}{T} \int_{o}^{T} \left[\left(\frac{a + bT + cT^2}{\theta} - \frac{b + 2cT}{\theta^2} + \frac{2c}{\theta^3} \right) e^{\theta(T-t)} - \frac{a + bt + ct^2}{\theta} + \frac{b + 2ct}{\theta^2} - \frac{2c}{\theta^3} \right] dt$$

$$(4)$$

The θ is discarded since it is minimal, so.

$$HC = \frac{C_h}{T} \left[\frac{aT^2}{2} + \frac{bT^3}{2} + \frac{cT^4}{2} \right] = \frac{C_h}{2} \left[aT + bT^2 + cT^3 \right]$$
(5)

$$DC = \frac{\theta C_d}{T} \left[\int_{0}^{T} I(t) dt \right] = \frac{\theta C_d}{2} \left(a + bT + cT^2 \right) T$$
(6)

Therefore,

$$TC = \frac{C_0}{T} + \frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)(a + bT + cT^2)T}{2}$$
(7)

To solve TC optimal, it can be easily shown that TC (T) is a convex function in T. Hence, an optimal cycle time T can be calculated from:

$$\frac{d}{dT}TC(T) = 0$$
 and $\frac{d^2}{dT^2}TC(T) > 0$

the total cost equation (7) is derivatized with respect to T, then

Please cite this article as: Sivashankari, C. (2019). Purchasing Inventory Models for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand. Jurnal Teknik Industri, 20(2), 204-217. doi:https://doi.org/10.22219/JTIUMM.Vol20.No2.204-217

(2)

$$\frac{d}{dT}(TC) = \frac{-C_0}{T^2} + \frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)(a + 2bT + 3cT^2)}{2} = 0$$

$$\frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)(a + 2bT + 3cT^2)}{2} = \frac{C_0}{T^2}$$
and
$$\frac{d^2}{dT^2}(TC) = \frac{2C_0}{T^3} + \frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)(a + 2b + 6cT)}{2} > 0$$

$$3cT^4 + 2bT^3 + aT^2 - \frac{2C_0}{C_h + \theta C_d} = 0$$
(8)

Equation (8) is the equation for the optimum solution for the total cost. It should be noted that, When b =0 , c=0 and a = D, then $T = \sqrt{\frac{2C_0}{D(C_h + \theta C_d)}}$ which is the standard

model.

2.4 Purchasing Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand and Shortage

The typical behavior of the inventory model is depicted in Fig. 2. The inventory starts with zero stock at zero time. Shortage at T_1 is to accumulate at the early stage of the inventory cycle. Therefore, this model developed the deteriorating inventory model. The demand is a linear function of time. That is $D = a + bt + ct^2$, where $a > 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0$ at time t and "a" stands for the initial demand and "b" and "c" are a positive trend in demand. Therefore, the deterioration rate is constant.



The instantaneous inventory level I(t) is given by the following derivative equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(t) + \theta I(t) = -(a + bt + ct^{2}); \ 0 < t < T_{1}$$
(9)

The instantaneous shortage I(t) during the period of shortage is given by the derivative of equation (10).

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(t) = -(a+bt+ct^{2}); \ T_{1} < t < T$$
(10)

with the boundary conditions are

$$I(T_1) = 0 \text{ and } I(T) = B, I(0) = Q_1$$
 (11)

The solution of equation (9) is

$$I(t) = \left(\frac{a + bT_1 + cT_1^2}{\theta} - \frac{b + 2cT_1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2c}{\theta^3}\right)e^{\theta(T_1 - t)} - \frac{a + bt + ct^2}{\theta} + \frac{b + 2ct}{\theta^2} - \frac{2c}{\theta^3}$$
(12)

The solution of the equation (10) is

$$I(t) = a(t - T_1) + \frac{b}{2} \left(t^2 - T_1^2 \right) + \frac{c}{3} \left(t^3 - T_1^3 \right)$$
(13)

From equation (9) and boundary conditions

$$I(0) = Q_1 \Longrightarrow Q_1 = \left(\frac{a + bT_1 + cT_1^2}{\theta} - \frac{b + 2cT_1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2c}{\theta^3}\right)e^{\theta T_1} - \frac{a}{\theta} + \frac{b}{\theta^2} - \frac{2c}{\theta^3}$$

Total cost comprised the sum of the setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, shortage cost. Setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, Shortage cost and The total cost respectively are shown in equation (14), (15), (16), (17) and (18).

$$SC = \frac{C_0}{T}$$

$$HC = \frac{C_h}{T} \left[\int_o^{T_1} I(t) dt \right]$$

$$= \frac{C_h}{T} \int_o^{T_1} \left[\left(\frac{a + bT_1 + cT_1^2}{\theta} - \frac{b + 2cT_1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2c}{\theta^3} \right) e^{\theta(T_1 - t)} - \frac{a + bt + ct^2}{\theta} + \frac{b + 2ct}{\theta^2} - \frac{2c}{\theta^3} \right] dt$$

$$(14)$$

$$HC = \frac{C_{h}}{T} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\theta} \left(\frac{a + bT_{1} + cT_{1}^{2}}{\theta} - \frac{b + 2cT_{1}}{\theta^{2}} + \frac{2c}{\theta^{3}} \right) - \frac{aT_{1}}{\theta} - \frac{bT_{1}^{2}}{2\theta} - \frac{cT_{1}^{3}}{3\theta} \\ + \frac{bT_{1}}{\theta^{2}} + \frac{cT_{1}^{2}}{\theta^{2}} - \frac{2cT_{1}}{\theta^{3}} + \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{a + bT_{1} + cT_{1}^{2}}{\theta} - \frac{b + 2cT_{1}}{\theta^{2}} + \frac{2c}{\theta^{3}} \right) e^{\theta T_{1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The θ is discarded since it is minimal, so.

$$HC = \frac{C_h}{T} \left[\frac{aT_1^2}{2} + \frac{bT_1^3}{2} + \frac{cT_1^4}{2} \right] = \frac{C_h}{2T} \left[aT_1^2 + bT_1^3 + cT_1^4 \right]$$
(15)

$$DC = \frac{\theta C_d}{T} \left[\int_{o}^{T_1} I(t) dt \right] = \frac{\theta C_d}{2T} \left[a T_1^2 + b T_1^3 \right]$$
(16)

$$ShC = \frac{C_s}{T} \int_{T_1}^{T} I(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{C_s}{T} \int_{T_1}^{T} \left[a(t - T_1) + \frac{b}{2} \left(t^2 - T_1^2 \right) + \frac{c}{3} \left(t^3 - T_1^3 \right) \right] dt$$

$$= \frac{C_s}{T} \left[\frac{a}{2} \left(T - T_1 \right)^2 + \frac{b}{6} \left(T^3 - 3T_1^2 + 2T_1^3 \right) + \frac{c}{12} \left(T^4 - 4T_1^3 T + 3T_1^4 \right) \right]$$
(17)

$$TC = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{C_0}{T} + \frac{C_h + \theta C_d}{2T} \left(aT_1^2 + bT_1^3 + cT_1^4 \right) \\ + \frac{C_s}{T} \left[\frac{a}{2} \left(T - T_1 \right)^2 + \frac{b}{6} \left(T^3 - 3T_1^2 + 2T_1^3 \right) + \frac{c}{12} \left(T^4 - 4T_1^3 T + 3T_1^4 \right) \right] \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

TC (T) is a convex function in T. Hence; an optimal cycle time T can be calculated from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} TC(T_1) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_1^2} TC(T_1) > 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} TC(T) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T^2} TC(T) > 0$$

Partially derivative the total cost equation (18) to T_1 , then



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}(TC) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)(2aT_1 + 3bT_1^2 + 4cT_1^3)}{2T} \\ + \frac{C_s}{T} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2a}{2}(T - T_1)(-1) + \frac{b}{6}(-6TT_1 + 6T_1^2) + \frac{c}{12}(-12T_1^2T + 12T_1^3) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Therefore, $T_1 = \frac{aC_sT}{a(C_h + \theta C_d + C_s) - bC_sT}$

Partially derivative of the total cost equation (18) to T is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T}(TC) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-C_0}{T^2} - \frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)(aT_1^2 + bT_1^3 + CT_1^4)}{2T^2} \\ + \frac{C_s}{2T^2} \begin{bmatrix} a(T - T_1) - (T + T_1)^2 + \frac{b}{3}(3T^2 - 3T_1^2) - (T^3 - 3T_1^2T + 2T_1^3) \\ + \frac{c}{12} \left\{ \frac{T(4T^4 + 4T_1^3) - (T^4 - 4TT_1^3 + 3T_1^4)}{T^2} \right\} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(C_h + \theta C_d)(aT_1^2 + bT_1^3 + cT_1^4) + aC_s(T^2 - T_1^2) \\ + bC_s\left(\frac{2T^3 - 2T_1^3}{3}\right) + C_sC\frac{(3T^4 - 3T_1^4)}{6} \end{bmatrix} = 2C_0$$

and
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial T^2}(TC) = \frac{2C_0}{T^3} + \frac{(C_h + \theta C_d)a}{2} > 0$$

On simplification,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3CC_{s}\left((C_{h}+\theta C_{d}+C_{s})^{4}-C_{s}^{4}\right)-6C(C_{h}+\theta C_{d})C_{s}^{4}}{(C_{h}+\theta C_{d}+C_{s})^{4}} \end{bmatrix} T^{4} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4bC_{s}\left((C_{h}+\theta C_{d}+C_{s})^{3}-C_{s}^{3}\right)-6b(C_{h}+\theta C_{d})C_{s}^{3}}{(C_{h}+\theta C_{d}+C_{s})^{3}} \end{bmatrix} T^{3} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{6aC_{s}(C_{h}+\theta C_{d})}{C_{h}+\theta C_{d}+C_{s}} \end{bmatrix} T^{2} - 12C_{0} = 0$$

It should be noted that when b =0 and a = D, then $T = \sqrt{\frac{2C_0(C_h + \theta C_d + C_s)}{DC_s(C_h + \theta C_d)}}$

Which is the standard model.

2.5 Numerical Experiment

In the model for deteriorating items with quadratic demand, we used D = 4500 unit, $C_0=100$ \$, $C_h=10$ \$, $\theta=0.01$; $C_d=100$ \$, a = 4250, b = 3880, and c=2700. On the other hand, researchers employed D = 4500 unit, $C_0=100$ \$, $C_h=10$ \$, $\theta=0.01$ \$,

 $C_d = 100$ \$, $C_s = 10$ \$, a= 4200, b = 3000, and c = 2700 on model for quadratic demand with shortage. In the sensitivity test of the Rate of deteriorating items toward the total cost, this study used ten variations of the deteriorating rate from 0.01 to 0.10, and both models performed this test. Sensitivity analysis was also carried out on parameters such as ordering cost, holding cost, deterioration cost, shortage cost, initial demand (a), and positive trend (b,c). During the experiment, 5 parameter variations were used to determine its effect on inventory. To simplify the experiment, numerical experiments in this model was coded in Microsoft Visual Basic 6.0.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Model for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand

Result from numerical experiment show that the optimal solution is T = 0.0618, $Q^* = 278.10$, Setup cost = 1618.69 \$, Holding cost = 1390.54 \$, Deteriorating cost = 139.05 \$, and Total cost = 3147.70 \$. Furthermore, Table 1 shows the Influence variation of rate of deteriorating items toward the total cost. It is concluded that The greater the rate of deteriorating, it increases the Setup cost, Deteriorating cost, and Total cost. Conversely, the greater the rate of deteriorating, the lowering T, Q, and holding cost.

Table 1. Influence variation of rate of deteriorating items toward total
--

θ	Т	Q	Setup cost	Holding cost	Deteriorating cost	Total cost
0.01	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
0.02	0.0592	266.78	1686.76	1331.26	266.25	3284.28
0.03	0.0571	256.84	1752.04	1278.99	383.70	3414.74
0.04	0.0551	247.95	1814.85	1232.43	492.97	3540.27
0.05	0.0533	240.01	1874.89	1190.64	595.32	3660.84
0.06	0.0517	232.74	1933.50	1152.81	691.68	3777.99
0.07	0.0502	226.10	1990.30	1118.36	782.86	3891.52
0.08	0.0489	220.00	2045.45	1086.84	869.47	4001.76
0.09	0.0476	214.38	2099.08	1057.83	952.05	4108.97
0.10	0.0465	209.17	2151.32	1031.03	1031.03	4213.40

Table 2 shows the effect of demand and cost parameters on optimal values toward T, Q, setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, shortage cost, and total cost. The following influences can be obtained from sensitivity analysis based on Table 2. The finding of sensitivity analysis is as follows 1) Increasing the setup and deteriorating cost raise optimum quantity, cycle time, setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, and total cost increases. These results show that there is a positive relationship between them. 2) Increasing the holding cost also raise the setup cost, holding cost, Deteriorating cost, and total cost. There is a positive relationship between them, but the optimal cycle time and the optimal lot size decreases. Therefore, there is a negative relationship between cycle time and an optimal lot size toward holding costs. Demand parameters a, b, and c also affect the optimal quantity, cycle time, setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, and total cost. When a, b, and c increase, it will increase the setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, and total cost. However, the optimal quantity, cycle time decreases.



Parameters				Op	timum value	es	
		Т	Q	SC	HC	DC	TC
	80	0.0556	250.17	1439.04	1243.62	124.36	2807.02
C_0	90	0.0588	264.56	1530.83	1319.12	131.91	2981.86
	100	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
	110	0.0646	290.91	1701.54	1458.48	145.85	3305.87
	120	0.0673	303.09	1781.63	1523.41	152.34	3457.38
	8	0.0679	305.72	1471.92	1229.97	153.75	2855.64
C_{μ}	9	0.0646	290.91	1546.85	1312.63	145.85	3005.33
	10	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
п	11	0.0593	266.87	1686.18	1464.42	133.13	3283.73
	12	0.0571	256.93	1751.47	1534.82	127.90	3414.19
	80	0.0623	280.53	1604.13	1403.37	112.27	3119.77
	90	0.0621	279.31	1611.13	1396.91	125.72	3133.77
C_{d}	100	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
	110	0.0615	276.91	1625.05	1384.26	152.27	3161.58
	120	0.0612	275.74	1631.96	1378.06	165.36	3175.39
	4050	0.0631	283.79	1585.68	1357.60	135.76	3079.03
	4150	0.0624	280.90	1601.96	1374.16	137.42	3113.54
а	4250	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
	4350	0.0612	275.38	1634.12	1406.73	140.67	3181.53
	4450	0.0606	272.72	1650.01	1422.74	142.27	3215.02
b c	3680	0.0619	278.79	1614.12	1390.34	139.03	3143.49
	3780	0.0618	278.44	1616.12	1390.44	139.04	3145.60
	3880	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
	3980	0.0617	277.76	1620.09	1390.64	139.06	3149.80
	4080	0.0616	277.42	1622.07	1390.75	139.07	3151.89
	2500	0.0618	278.16	1617.74	1390.64	139.06	3147.44
	2600	0.0618	278.13	1617.92	1390.59	139.06	3147.57
	2700	0.0618	278.10	1618.10	1390.54	139.05	3147.70
	2800	0.0617	278.07	1618.29	1390.49	139.04	3147.83
	2900	0.0617	278.03	1618.47	1390.44	139.04	3147.96

Table 2. Effect of Demand and cost parameters on optimal values

3.2 Model for Deteriorating Items with Quadratic Demand and Shortage

In the trial model for deteriorating items with quadratic demand and shortage, the results show $T^4 + 1.2658T^3 + 1.9506T^2 - 1.7732 = 0$. Therefore, the optimal value is T= 0.0924, $T_1 = 0.0454$, Q = 415.88, Setup cost = 1082.03, Holding cost = 484.97, Deteriorating cost = 48.50, Shortage cost = 524.68, Total cost = 2140.17. sensitivity analysis variation of the Rate of deteriorating items is shown in Table 3. The study considers the effect of the rate of deteriorating costs, shortage cost, and total costs. It is concluded that increasing the rate of deterioration of the item enhances the set-up cost, the deterioration costs, shortage cost, and the total cost. These show that there is a positive relationship between them. However, when the rate of deterioration increases, then the cycle time, the optimum quantity, and the holding cost decreases. It shows that there is a negative relationship between them.



Table 3. Variation of Rate of deteriorating items with inventory and total cost										
θ	Т	Q	T_1	Q_1	SC	2	HC	DC	ShC	TC
0.01	0.0924	4 415,8	0.04	54 193	8.98 10	82.03	484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
0.02	0.0906	6 407.9	0.04	24 181	.08 11	03.18	430.66	86.13	561.82	2181.79
0.03	0.0893	1 401.0	0.03	98 169	0.85 11	22.11	385.23	115.57	596.19	2219.11
0.04	0.0878	3 395.0	0.03	76 159	.98 11	39.17	346.80	138.72	628.08	2252.77
0.05	0.0866	3 389.7	4 0.03	55 151	.22 11	54.62	313.97	156.98	657.73	2283.31
0.06	0.0856	3 385.0	0.03	37 143	8.40 11	68.68	285.68	171.41	685.37	2311.14
0.07	0.0846	3 380.8	6 0.03	21 136	5.37 11	81.54	261.11	182.78	711.18	2336.01
0.08	0.0838	3 377.0	0.03	06 130	0.01 11	93.34	239.63	191.71	735.34	2360.02
0.09	0.0830) 373.6	69 0.02	92 124	.25 12	04.21	220.74	198.66	757.99	2381.61
0.10	0.0823	3 370.5	0.02	80 118	8.95 12	14.27	204.02	204.02	779.27	2401.58
		Table 4.	Effect of	Deman	d and co	st parar	neters on	optimal	values	
Parar	neters	Т	Q	T_1	Q_1	SC	HC	DC	ShC	TC
	80	0.0829	373.21	0.0406	173.19	964.61	430.77	43.08	471.59	1910.05
	90	0.0878	395.17	0.0431	183.87	1024.8	6 458.53	45.85	498.94	2028.19
C_{a}	100	0.0924	415,88	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
0	110	0.0968	435.52	0.0476	203.61	1136.5	8 510.27	51.03	549.04	2246.92
	120	0.1009	454.22	0.0498	212.82	1188.8	5 534.59	53.46	572.22	2349.11
	8	0.0970	436.36	0.0530	226.73	1031.2	4 505.33	63.17	440.82	2040.57
	9	0.0945	425.23	0.0489	209.01	1058.2	4 495.67	55.07	484.47	2093.45
C_{k}	10	0.0924	$415,\!88$	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
n	11	0.0906	407.91	0.0424	181.04	1103.1	8 473.72	2 43.07	561.81	2181.79
	12	0.0891	401.03	0.0398	169.78	1122.1	1 462.28	38.52	596.19	2219.11
	80	0.0928	417.63	0.0461	196.80	1077.5	0 497.11	39.77	516.89	2131.27
	90	0.0926	416.75	0.0457	195.38	1079.7	8 490.98	8 44.19	520.80	2135.75
C_{\perp}	100	0.0924	415,88	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
a	110	0.0922	415.03	0.0451	192.60	1084.2	6 479.07	52.70	528.53	2144.55
	120	0.0920	414.19	0.0448	191.24	1086.4	6 473.28	3 56.79	532.34	2148.88
	8	0.0982	441.73	0.0426	181.63	1018.7	2 400.29	40.03	552.96	2012.00
	9	0.0982	441.73	0.0426	181.63	1018.7	2 400.29	40.03	552.96	2012.00
$C_{\rm c}$	10	0.0924	415,88	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
3	11	0.0902	406.06	0.0466	199.11	1108.2	2 523.35	552.33	509.45	2193.37
	12	0.0884	397.67	0.0476	203.70	1131.5	9 559.35	5 55.93	494.06	2240.93
	4000	0.0945	425.19	0.0466	189.56	1058.3	5 475.66	6 47.57	510.22	2091.80
	4100	0.0934	420.46	0.0460	191.78	1070.2	5 480.33	48.03	517.51	2116.12
a	4200	0.0924	415,88	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
	4300	0.0914	411.45	0.0449	196.15	1093.6	9 489.58	48.95	531.75	2163.99
	4400	0.0905	407.14	0.0444	198.31	1105.2	5 494.17	49.42	538.72	2187.56
	2800	0.0926	416.64	0.0454	193.72	1080.0	6 482.79	9 48.28	526.33	2137.46
b	2900	0.0925	416.26	0.0454	193.85	1081.0	4 483.88	48.39	525.51	2138.82
	3000	0.0924	415,88	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
	3100	0.0923	415.51	0.0454	194.11	1083.0	1 486.06	6 48.61	523.86	2141.53
	3200	0.0922	415.13	0.0454	194.24	1083.9	9 487.15	6 48.71	523.03	2142.89
с	2500	0.0924	415.95	0.0454	194.01	1081.8	7 485.00	48.50	524.66	2140.03
	2600	0.0924	415.91	0.0454	193.99	1081.9	5 484.98	8 48.49	524.67	2140.10
	2700	0.0924	415,88	0.0454	193.98	1082.0	3 484.97	48.50	524.68	2140.17
	2800	0.0924	415.85	0.0454	193.96	1082.1	1 484.95	6 48.49	524.69	2140.24
	2900	0.0924	415.82	0.0454	193.95	1082.1	9 484.93	3 48.49	524.70	2140.31

The effect of Demand and cost parameters on optimal values can be shown in Table 4. The experimental findings are as follows 1) increasing in setup cost per unit can raise optimum quantity, cycle time, setup cost, holding cost, deteriorating cost, shortage cost, and total cost increases. Therefore, there is a positive relationship between them. 2) increasing in holding cost per unit time enhance the setup cost, holding cost, shortage cost, and total cost. There is a positive relationship between them, but optimal cycle time, optimal lot size, and deteriorating cost decrease. Therefore, there is a negative relationship between holding cost per unit time toward cycle time, lot size, and deteriorating cost, shortage cost, shortage cost, and total cost. However, it decreases cycle time, lot size, and holding cost. 4) Raising in the shortage cost decreases the optimal cycle time, optimal lot size, and total cost show the replacement time, maximum inventory, setup cost, holding cost, and total cost show increase. Other parameters "a", "b", and "c" can be observed from Table 4.

4. Conclusion

This paper deals with purchasing inventory replenishment policy for deteriorating items. This model, considering the time-dependent quadratic demand. Two models were formulated and solved for deteriorating items with (1) quadratically time-dependent demand for deteriorating items, and (2) quadratically time-dependent demand for deteriorating items and shortages. The sensitivity analysis also was presented in both models. The proposed model can assist the manufacturer and retailer in the accurate determination of the optimum quantity, cycle time, and overall inventory cost. This research can be extended as follows: (1) Most of the production systems today are multi-stage systems, and in a multi-stage system, the defective items and scrap can be produced in each stage. The defectives and scrap for a multi-stage system can be different stages. (2) Inspection costs can be included in developing future models. (3) The demand for a product may decrease with time owing to the introduction of a new product, which is either technically superior or more attractive and cheaper than the old one. On the other hand, the demand for new products will increase. Thus, the demand rate can be varied with time, so the variable demand rate can be used to develop the model.

References

- [1] F. W. Harris, "How many parts to make at once," *Operation Research*, vol. 38, pp. 947-950, 1990. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.38.6.947.
- [2] U. Dave and L. Patel, "(T, S i) policy inventory model for deteriorating items with time proportional demand," *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 32, pp. 137-142, 1981. https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.1981.27.
- [3] S. Bose, A. Goswami, and K. Chaudhuri, "An EOQ model for deteriorating items with linear time-dependent demand rate and shortages under inflation and time discounting," *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 46, pp. 771-782, 1995. https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.1995.107.
- [4] K. Skouri and S. Papachristos, "A continuous review inventory model, with deteriorating items, time-varying demand, linear replenishment cost, partially time-varying backlogging," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 26, pp. 603-617, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0307-904X(01)00071-3.



- [5] S. Ghosh, K. Chaudhuri, and Optimization, "An order-level inventory model for a deteriorating item with Weibull distribution deterioration, time-quadratic demand and shortages," *Advanced Modeling*, vol. 6, pp. 21-35, 2004. https://camo.ici.ro/journal/vol6/v6a2.pdf.
- [6] S. Ghosh and K. Chaudhuri, "An EOQ model with a quadratic demand, timeproportional deterioration and shortages in all cycles," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 37, pp. 663-672, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207720600568145.
- [7] A. Roy, "An inventory model for deteriorating items with price dependent demand and time varying holding cost," *Advanced modeling optimization*, vol. 10, pp. 25-37, 2008. https://camo.ici.ro/journal/vol10/v10a2.pdf.
- [8] M. Cheng and G. Wang, "A note on the inventory model for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand rate," *Computers Industrial Engineering*, vol. 56, pp. 1296-1300, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2008.07.020.
- [9] C. K. Jaggi and P. Verma, "Two-warehouse inventory model for deteriorating items with linear trend in demand and shortages under inflationary conditions," *International Journal of Procurement Management*, vol. 3, p. 54, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPM.2010.029775.
- [10] B. Mandal, "An EOQ inventory model for Weibull distributed deteriorating items under ramp type demand and shortages," *Opsearch*, vol. 47, pp. 158-165, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12597-010-0018-x.
- [11] T. Roy and K. Chaudhuri, "A finite time horizon EOQ model with ramp-type demand rate under inflation and time-discounting," *International Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 11, pp. 100-118, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJOR.2011.04033.
- [12] M. Cheng, B. Zhang, and G. Wang, "Optimal policy for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand and partial backlogging," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 35, pp. 3552-3560, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2011.01.001.
- [13] N. Kumar, S. R. Singh, and R. Kumari, "An inventory model with time-dependent demand and limited storage facility under inflation," *Advances in Operations Research*, vol. 2012, 2012. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/321471.
- T. Sarkar, S. Ghosh, and K. Chaudhuri, "An optimal inventory replenishment [14]policy for a deteriorating item with time-quadratic demand and time-dependent partial backlogging with shortages in all cycles," Applied MathematicsComputation. 218.9147-9155. 2012.vol. pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.02.072.
- [15] V. K. Mishra, L. S. Singh, and R. Kumar, "An inventory model for deteriorating items with time-dependent demand and time-varying holding cost under partial backlogging," *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, vol. 9, p. 4, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1186/2251-712X-9-4.
- [16] S. K. De and S. S. Sana, "An EOQ model with backlogging," International Journal of Management Science Engineering Management, vol. 11, pp. 143-154, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2014.995736.
- [17] P. Manna, S. K. Manna, and B. C. Giri, "Economic Order Quantity Model with Ramp Type Demand Rate, Constant Deterioration Rate and Unit Production Cost," *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, vol. 26, 2016. http://www.yujor.fon.bg.ac.rs/index.php/yujor/article/view/8.
- [18] M. E. Islam, S. I. Ukil, and M. S. Uddin, "A time dependent inventory model for exponential demand rate with constant production where shelf-life of the product

is finite," *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 6, p. 38, 2016. https://doi.org//10.4236/ojapps.2016.61005.

- [19] N. K. Kaliraman, R. Ritu, S. Chandra, and H. Chaudhary, "Two warehouse inventory model for deteriorating item with exponential demand rate and permissible delay in payment," *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, vol. 27, pp. 109-124, 2016. http://yujor.fon.bg.ac.rs/index.php/yujor/article/view/22/16.
- [20] R. Tripathi, S. Pareek, and M. Kaur, "Inventory model with exponential timedependent demand rate, variable deterioration, shortages and production cost," *International Journal of Applied Computational Mathematics*, vol. 3, pp. 1407-1419, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40819-016-0185-4.
- [21] S. Singh, S. Singh, and S. Sharma, "A partially backlogged EPQ model with demand dependent production and non-instantaneous deterioration," *International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research*, vol. 10, pp. 211-228, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMOR.2017.081926.